IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Denecheau et al.			
SERIAL NO.:	10/596,641	Group Art Unit:	2419
FILING DATE:	March 23, 2007		
FOR:	**System And Method For Communicating On A Virtual Ring In An Internet Protocol Network**	Examiner:	Elliot IV, Benjamin H.

Response to Interview Summary

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Interview Summary dated May 29, 2009, Applicants hereby submits a summary of the interview.

A telephone interview took place for the subject application on May 19, 2009 with Examiners Elliott and Moore. There was no exhibit or demonstration of the invention provided during the course of this interview. The interview focused on an amendment to the claims submitted together with an Interview Agenda. More specifically, the Applicants' Attorney provided an oral explanation of the invention to the Examiner, and then identified the points of novelty as reflected in the proposed claim amendments. The issues in the Office Action pertaining to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, were discussed. It was agreed that the proposed amendments overcome this rejection. In addition, the prior art references of *Port et al.*, and *Grow* were discussed, and specifically how the proposed amended

1

limitations teach away from these prior art references applied by the Examiner. With respect to the prior art rejection, it was discussed and agreed that the proposed amendment overcomes the prior art of record

In compliance with MPEP §713.04, Applicants hereby request entry of the Response to the Interview Summary.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Rochelle Lieberman/

Rochelle Lieberman
Registration No. 39,276
Attorney for Applicants

Lieberman & Brandsdorfer, LLC
802 Still Creek Lane
Gaithersburg, MD 20878
Phone: (301) 948-7775
Fax: (301) 948-7774
Email: rocky@legalplanner.com
Date: June 29, 2009